

COMP 3711H  
Lecture 1: Introduction

# Computational Problems and Algorithms

- A **computational problem** is a **specification** of the desired input-output relationship.
- An **instance** of a problem is **all the inputs** needed to compute a solution to the problem.
- An **algorithm** is a well defined **computational procedure** that transforms inputs into outputs, achieving the desired input-output relationship.
- A **correct algorithm halts** with the correct output for every input instance. We then say that the algorithm **solves** the problem.

# Example of a Problem and an Instance

## Computational Problem: **Sorting**

- **Input:** Sequence of  $n$  numbers  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ .
- **Output:** Permutation (reordering)

$$\langle a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_n \rangle$$

such that  $a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \dots \leq a'_n$ .

# Example of a Problem and an Instance

## Computational Problem: **Sorting**

- **Input:** Sequence of  $n$  numbers  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ .
- **Output:** Permutation (reordering)

$$\langle a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_n \rangle$$

such that  $a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \dots \leq a'_n$ .

## Instance of Problem **Sorting**

- **Input:** Permutation

$$\langle 8, 3, 6, 7, 1, 2, 9 \rangle$$

- **Output:** Permutation (reordering)

$$\langle 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle$$

## Example of Algorithm: Insertion Sort

**In-Place Sort:** uses only a fixed amount of storage beyond that needed for the data.

## Example of Algorithm: Insertion Sort

**In-Place Sort:** uses only a fixed amount of storage beyond that needed for the data.

### **Pseudocode:**

$A$  is an array of numbers

```
for  $j = 2$  to  $\text{length}(A)$ 
{
   $\text{key} = A[j]$ ;
   $i = j - 1$ ;
  while ( $i \geq 1$  and  $A[i] > \text{key}$ )
  {
     $A[i + 1] = A[i]$ ;
     $i = i - 1$ ;
  }
   $A[i + 1] = \text{key}$ ;
}
```

## Example of Algorithm: Insertion Sort

**In-Place Sort:** uses only a fixed amount of storage beyond that needed for the data.

### **Pseudocode:**

$A$  is an array of numbers

```
for  $j = 2$  to  $\text{length}(A)$ 
{
   $\text{key} = A[j]$ ;
   $i = j - 1$ ;
  while ( $i \geq 1$  and  $A[i] > \text{key}$ )
  {
     $A[i + 1] = A[i]$ ;
     $i = i - 1$ ;
  }
   $A[i + 1] = \text{key}$ ;
}
```

**Pause:**

How does it work?

## Insertion Sort: an Incremental Approach

To sort an array of length  $n$ :  $n$  steps  
 $i$ th step sorts the array of the first  $i$  items by inserting  $i$ th item properly into sorted array of the first  $i - 1$  items (created in previous step)



## Insertion Sort: an Incremental Approach

To sort an array of length  $n$ :  $n$  steps  
 $i$ th step sorts the array of the first  $i$  items by inserting  $i$ th item properly into sorted array of the first  $i - 1$  items (created in previous step)

**Example:** Sort  $A = \langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$  with Insertion Sort.

## Insertion Sort: an Incremental Approach

To sort an array of length  $n$ :  $n$  steps  
 $i$ th step sorts the array of the first  $i$  items by inserting  $i$ th item properly into sorted array of the first  $i - 1$  items (created in previous step)

**Example:** Sort  $A = \langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$  with Insertion Sort.

Step 1:  $\langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$

## Insertion Sort: an Incremental Approach

To sort an array of length  $n$ :  $n$  steps  
 $i$ th step sorts the array of the first  $i$  items by inserting  $i$ th item properly into sorted array of the first  $i - 1$  items (created in previous step)

**Example:** Sort  $A = \langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$  with Insertion Sort.

Step 1:  $\langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$

Step 2:  $\langle 3, 6, 2, 4 \rangle$

## Insertion Sort: an Incremental Approach

To sort an array of length  $n$ :  $n$  steps  
 $i$ th step sorts the array of the first  $i$  items by inserting  $i$ th item properly into sorted array of the first  $i - 1$  items (created in previous step)

**Example:** Sort  $A = \langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$  with Insertion Sort.

Step 1:  $\langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$

Step 3:  $\langle 2, 3, 6, 4 \rangle$

Step 2:  $\langle 3, 6, 2, 4 \rangle$

## Insertion Sort: an Incremental Approach

To sort an array of length  $n$ :  $n$  steps  
 $i$ th step sorts the array of the first  $i$  items by inserting  $i$ th item properly into sorted array of the first  $i - 1$  items (created in previous step)

**Example:** Sort  $A = \langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$  with Insertion Sort.

Step 1:  $\langle 6, 3, 2, 4 \rangle$

Step 3:  $\langle 2, 3, 6, 4 \rangle$

Step 2:  $\langle 3, 6, 2, 4 \rangle$

Step 4:  $\langle 2, 3, 4, 6 \rangle$

# Analyzing Algorithms

## **Predict resource utilization**

1. **Memory** (space complexity)
2. **Running time** (time complexity)

# Analyzing Algorithms

## Predict resource utilization

1. **Memory** (space complexity)
2. **Running time** (time complexity)

**Remark:** Depends on model of computation, e.g.,

*sequential vs. parallel* or

*internal memory vs. external memory.*

In this class we usually assume

*sequential* and *internal memory.*

## Analyzing Algorithms (Continued)

**Running time:** the number of **primitive operations** used to solve the problem.



## Analyzing Algorithms (Continued)

**Running time:** the number of **primitive operations** used to solve the problem.

**Primitive operations:**

e.g., addition, multiplication, comparisons. In more advanced models could be page faults or Map/Reduce calls

## Analyzing Algorithms (Continued)

**Running time:** the number of **primitive operations** used to solve the problem.

**Primitive operations:**

e.g., addition, multiplication, comparisons. In more advanced models could be page faults or Map/Reduce calls

**Running time:** depends on problem instance, often we find an upper bound:  $F(\mathbf{input\ size})$

## Analyzing Algorithms (Continued)

**Running time:** the number of **primitive operations** used to solve the problem.

**Primitive operations:**

e.g., addition, multiplication, comparisons. In more advanced models could be page faults or Map/Reduce calls

**Running time:** depends on problem instance, often we find an upper bound:  $F(\mathbf{input\ size})$

**Input size:** rigorous definition given later.

1. **Sorting:** number of items to be sorted
2. **Multiplication:** number of bits, number of digits.
3. **Graphs:** number of vertices and edges.

## Three Types of Algorithmic Analyses

**Best Case:** **constraints** on the input, other than size, resulting in the fastest possible running time.

## Three Types of Algorithmic Analyses

**Best Case:** constraints on the input, other than size, resulting in the fastest possible running time.

**Worst Case:** constraints on the input, other than size, resulting in the slowest possible running time.

Example. In the worst case *Quicksort* runs in  $\Theta(n^2)$  time on an input of  $n$  keys.

## Three Types of Algorithmic Analyses

**Best Case:** constraints on the input, other than size, resulting in the fastest possible running time.

**Worst Case:** constraints on the input, other than size, resulting in the slowest possible running time.

Example. In the worst case *Quicksort* runs in  $\Theta(n^2)$  time on an input of  $n$  keys.

**Average Case:** average running time over every possible type of input (usually involve probabilities of different types of input).

Example. In average case *Quicksort* runs in  $\Theta(n \log n)$  time on an input of  $n$  keys. All  $n!$  inputs of  $n$  keys are considered equally likely.

## Three Types of Algorithmic Analyses

**Best Case:** constraints on the input, other than size, resulting in the fastest possible running time.

**Worst Case:** constraints on the input, other than size, resulting in the slowest possible running time.

Example. In the worst case *Quicksort* runs in  $\Theta(n^2)$  time on an input of  $n$  keys.

**Average Case:** average running time over every possible type of input (usually involve probabilities of different types of input).

Example. In average case *Quicksort* runs in  $\Theta(n \log n)$  time on an input of  $n$  keys. All  $n!$  inputs of  $n$  keys are considered equally likely.

**Remark:** All cases are relative to the algorithm under consideration.

# Three Analyses of Insertion Sorting



## Three Analyses of Insertion Sorting

**Best Case:**  $A[1] \leq A[2] \leq A[3] \leq \dots \leq A[n]$ .

The number of comparisons needed is equal to

$$\underbrace{1 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n-1} = n - 1 = \Theta(n).$$

## Three Analyses of Insertion Sorting

**Best Case:**  $A[1] \leq A[2] \leq A[3] \leq \dots \leq A[n]$ .

The number of comparisons needed is equal to

$$\underbrace{1 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n-1} = n - 1 = \Theta(n).$$

**Worst Case:**  $A[1] \geq A[2] \geq A[3] \geq \dots \geq A[n]$ .

The number of comparisons needed is equal to

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2).$$

## Three Analyses of Insertion Sorting

**Best Case:**  $A[1] \leq A[2] \leq A[3] \leq \dots \leq A[n]$ .

The number of comparisons needed is equal to

$$\underbrace{1 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n-1} = n - 1 = \Theta(n).$$

**Worst Case:**  $A[1] \geq A[2] \geq A[3] \geq \dots \geq A[n]$ .

The number of comparisons needed is equal to

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2).$$

**Average Case:**  $\Theta(n^2)$  assuming that each of the  $n!$  instances are equally likely.

## Further thoughts on algorithm design

- *Algorithm Design*, as taught in this class, is mainly about designing algorithms that have small big  $O()$  running times.
- **“All other things being equal”**,  
 $O(n \log n)$  algorithms will run more quickly than  $O(n^2)$  ones and  
 $O(n)$  algorithms will beat  $O(n \log n)$  ones.
- Being able to do good algorithm design lets you identify the *hard parts* of your problem and deal with them effectively.
- Too often, programmers try to solve problems using brute force techniques and end up with slow complicated code! A few hours of abstract thought devoted to algorithm design could have speeded up the solution substantially *and* simplified it.

## Final Note

Note: After algorithm design one can continue on to *Algorithm tuning* which would further concentrate on improving algorithms by cutting cut down on the *constants* in the big  $O()$  bounds. This needs a good understanding of both algorithm design principles and efficient use of data structures.

In this course we will not go further into algorithm tuning. For a good introduction, see Chapter 9 in *Programming Pearls*, 2nd ed by Jon Bentley.