## COMP2012H

Generic Programming:
Overloading Operator Functions

## From Math Notation to Operators in Programming Languages

- Depending on what programming language you’ re using, to program the mathematical equation

$$
c=2(a-3)+5 b
$$

you might have to write out each function calls, as in

$$
c=\operatorname{add}(\operatorname{mult}(2, \operatorname{sub}(a, 3)), \operatorname{mult}(5, b))
$$

- But most programming languages have operators which allow us to mimic the mathematical notation by writing:

$$
c=2 *(a-3)+5 * b ;
$$

- However, most languages (like C) only have operators defined for the built-in types.
- C++ is an exception: it allows you to redefine most of its operators for user-defined types. e.g. you may redefine +, -, etc. for types Complex, Matrix, Array, String, etc.


## Example: Additions of Vectors

```
class Vector
{
    double _x, _y;
public:
    Vector(double x, double y) : _x(x), _y(y) { }
    double x() const { return _x; }
    double y() const { return _y;}
};
```

- To add 2 vectors, traditionally we would do it like this:

```
Vector add (const Vector& a, const Vector& b)
{
    return Vector( a.x() + b.x(), a.y() + b.y() );
}
Vector a(1, 3), b(-5, 7), c(22, 2), d;
d = add(a, add(b, c));
```


## Non-Member Operator Function

- It would be nicer if we could write the last expression

$$
\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{add}(\mathrm{a}, \operatorname{add}(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c})) ;
$$

instead as $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$.

- We can achieve that in C++ by simply replacing the name of the function add () by operator+().

```
Vector operator+ (const Vector& a, const Vector& b)
{
    return Vector(a.x() + b.x(), a.y() + b.y() );
}
Vector a(1, 3), b(-5, 7), c(22, 2), d;
d=a+b+c;
```


## Operator Syntax

- operator+ is a formal function name that can be used like any other function name.
- (It's just like add in the example from the first slide.)
- Here we have used the "nickname"-syntax to call operator+. Technically, we could instead have used the "formal address" operator+ as follows:

$$
d=\text { operator+(operatort(a, b), c); }
$$

(But nobody would really write code like this.)

- Operators in C++ are just like ordinary functions, except that they also have a nicer syntax for calling them similar to the usual mathematical notations.
- The operator + has a formal name, namely operator+ (consisting of 2 keywords), and a "nickname" namely +.


## Operator Syntax

- The nickname can only be used when calling the function.
- The formal name can be used in any context, when declaring the function, defining it, calling it, or taking its address.
- There is nothing that you can do with operators that cannot be done with ordinary functions. In other words, operators are just syntactic sugar.
- Be careful when defining operators. There is nothing that inhibits you from defining + to denote subtraction. There is nothing that inhibits you from defining $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{a}+=\mathrm{b}$ to have two different meanings. However, this would be extremely bad style your code will become unreadable.

Don't shock the user!

## C++ Operators

- Almost all operators in C++ can be overloaded except:

```
:: ?: sizeof
```

- The C++ parser is fixed. That means that you can only redefine existing operators, but you CANNOT define new operators.
- Nor can you change the following properties of an operator:
- Arity: the number of arguments an operator takes.

$$
\text { e.g. !x } \quad x+y \quad a \% b \quad s[j]
$$

(So you are not allowed to re-define the plus operator to take 3 arguments instead of 2.)

- Associativity: e.g. $a+b+c$ is always identical to $(a+b)+c$.
- Precedence: which operator is done first? e.g. $a+b^{*} c$ is treated as $a+\left(b^{*} c\right)$.


## C++ Operators

- All C++ operators already have predefined meaning for the built-in types. It is impossible to change this meaning; you can only overload the operator to have a meaning for your own (user-defined) classes (such as Vector in the example above).
- Therefore, every operator you define must have at least one argument of a user-defined class type.
- As a global function, operator+ has two arguments. When it is called in an expression such as a $+b$, this is equivalent to writing operator+(a, b).


## Member Operator Function

- Member functions are called using the "dot syntax" by specifying an object of, for example, type Vector.
- The expression $a+b$ is equivalent to $a$.operator $+(b)$.
- Thus, when we define operator+ as a member function of Vector, it has only one argument - the first argument is implicitly the object on which the member function is invoked.

```
class Vector {
    double _x, _y;
public:
    Vector(double x, double y) : _x(x),_y(y) { }
    double x() const { return _x; }
    double y() const { return _y;}
    Vector operator+ (const Vector& b) const
        { return Vector( _x + b._x, _y + b._y ); }
};
```


## Member and Non-Member Operator Function

- Whenever the compiler sees an expression of the form a +b , it converts this to the two possible representations

```
operator+(a, b)
```

a.operator+(b)
and verifies whether one of those two operator functions are defined.

- Note: It is an error to define both.


## Example: Member or Non-Member Function?

- Let's define a multiplication operator to multiply a vector with a scalar. This should all work:

```
Vector a(1,0), b(2, 3);
Vector c = 2 * a; // c== (2,0)
a=c+b*3; // a== (8,9)
```

- Can we define the multiplication operator as a member function of Vector?
- Remember that the compiler converts the expression $a * b$ to a.operator* (b). So the expression $2 * a$ is converted to 2 .operator* (a)!


## Example: Member or Non-Member Function?

- This doesn't work! 2 is an object of type int, and we cannot define a new member function for this type.
- So our only choice is to define the multiplication operator as a global non-member function:

```
Vector operator* (double s, const Vector& a)
{
    return Vector(s * a.x(), s * a.y());
}
```


## Example: Operator Function for Printing

- Very often you would like to provide a printing service for your userdefined classes, and the most natural way of doing that is to define the $\ll$ operator for your class.

```
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Vector& a)
{
    os << '('<< a.x()<< ','<< a.y()<< ')';
    return os;
}
```

- ostream is the base class for all possible output streams.
- In particular, the standard output stream cout and the error output stream cerr are objects of classes derived from ostream.


## Example: Operator Function for Printing

- Why does the operator return an output stream?
- Because we like to write expressions such as:

```
Vector a(1, 0);
cout << " a = " << a << "ln";
```

- The second line is equivalent to:

$$
\text { operator<<( operator<<( operator<<(cout, " a = "), a), " } \ln \text { "); }
$$

- This can only work if operator<< returns the output stream itself.
- Quiz: Could we have defined operator<< as a member function?


## Operator: Member or Non-Member Functions?

- The operators:"=" (assignment), "[ ]" (indexing), "( )" (call) are required by $\mathrm{C}++$ to be defined as class member functions.
- A member operator function has an implicit first argument of the class. => if the left operand of an operator must be an object of the class, it can be a member function.
- If the left operand of an operator must be an object of other classes, it must be a non-member function. e.g. operator<<
- To allow automatic conversion of types using the conversion constructor, for commutative operators like " + ", "-", "*", it is usually preferred to be defined as non-member functions. e.g.

```
String x("dot"), y("com"), z;
z = x + y;
z = x + "com";
z = "dog" + y;
```


## How to Differentiate Prefix and Postfix Operators?

```
class Vector {
    // ...
public:
    Vector() : _x(0.0), _y(0.0) { }
    Vector(double x, double y) : _x(x), _y(y) { }
    Vector operator++() { ++ _x; ++ _y; return *this; }
    Vector operator++(int)
        { Vector temp( _x, _y); _x++; _y++; return temp; }
};
int main() {
    Vector a(1.2, 3.4), c, d;
    c=++a;; // a= (2.2,4.4) and c= (2.2, 4.4)
    d=a++;; // a= (3.2,5.4) and d= (2.2, 4.4)
}
```

