## ITG for Joint Phrasal Translation Modeling

## Colin Cherry University of Alberta

Dekang Lin Google Inc.



April 26, 2007

## The Gist

- Joint phrasal translation models (JPTM) learn a bilingual phrase table using EM
- Phrasal ITG:
  - Use synchronous parsing to replace hill climbing & sampling with dynamic programming
- Do resulting phrase tables improve translation?



## Outline

- Phrasal Translation Models
- We build on:

- Phrase extraction, JPTM, ITG

- Phrasal ITG
  - Helpful constraints
- Results

#### • Summary & Future Work



## Phrasal translation model

English	French	P(e f)	P(f e)
ethical food	alimentation éthique	0.95	0.16
ethical foreign policy	politique étrangère morale	0.23	0.01
ethical foundations	fondements éthiques	0.10	0.03

- Ultimately interested in a bilingual phrase table
  - Lists and scores possible phrasal translations



## Surface Heuristic

cars				•	
red					•
likes		•			
he	•				
	il	aime	les	voitures	rouges

- Alignments provided by GIZA++ combination
- Surface heuristic:
  - Count each consistent phrase as occurring once
  - Aggregate counts over all sentence pairs



# Surface Heuristic

cars				•	
red					•
likes		•			
he	•				
	il	aime	les	voitures	rouges

- Alignments provided by GIZA++ combination
- Surface heuristic:
  - Count each consistent phrase as occurring once
  - Aggregate counts over all sentence pairs



# Surface Heuristiccars•red•likes•he•ilaimelesvoituresrouges

- Alignments provided by GIZA++ combination
- Surface heuristic:
  - Count each consistent phrase as occurring once
  - Aggregate counts over all sentence pairs





- Alignments provided by GIZA++ combination
- Surface heuristic:
  - Count each consistent phrase as occurring once
  - Aggregate counts over all sentence pairs



# Joint Phrasal Model (JPTM)

- Introduced by Marcu and Wong (2002)
- Trained with EM, like the IBM models
- Sentence pair built simultaneously
   Generate a bag of bilingual phrase pairs
  - Permute the phrases to form e and f

$$P(e,f) \propto \sum_{A} \left[ \prod_{(\bar{e_i},\bar{f_i})\in A} p(\bar{e_i},\bar{f_i}) \right]$$



## Joint Phrasal Model



Reason over an exponential number of phrasal alignments

Space is huge - task actually accomplished by sampling around high-probability point

April 26, 2007



## Joint Phrasal Model



Reason over an exponential number of phrasal alignments

Space is huge - task actually accomplished by sampling around high-probability point

April 26, 2007



## Joint Phrasal Model



Birch et al. (2006): Constrained JPTM

Explore only phrasal alignments consistent with high precision word alignment



## Inversion Transduction Grammar

• Introduced in by Wu (1997)









## Phrasal ITG



- Any phrase pair can be produced by the lexicon
- Choose between straight, inverted and now: phrasal



University of Alberta

# Training Phrasal ITG $C \rightarrow \bar{e}/\bar{f}$ with probability $P\left(\bar{e}/\bar{f}|C\right)$

- All phrase pairs share mass as a joint model
- Can be trained unsupervised with inside-outside
- No more expensive than binary bracketing:
   Phrases were already being explored as constituents



## The hope

- By moving to exact expectation:
  - Create more accurate statistics
  - Find a larger variety of phrase pairs



# The problem - still slow: $O(n^6)$

- ITG algorithms can be pruned:
  - O(n<sup>4</sup>) potential constituents are considered
  - O(n<sup>2</sup>) time spent considering all ways to build each constituent
- Fixed link pruning: Eliminate constituents that are not consistent with a given word alignment
  - Skip them and treat them as having 0 probability
- One link can potentially rule out 50% of constituents





# Fixed Link Speed-up

- Used GIZA++ intersection alignments
- Inside-outside on first 100 sentences of corpus
- Compared to Tic-tac-toe (Zhang & Gildea 2005)





# What about the ITG constraint?



- ITG can't handle this due to discontinuous constituents
- Check fixed links used for pruning
  If they are non-ITG, drop from training set
- In our French-English Europarl set, this results in a reduction in data of less than 1%

April 26, 2007



## Experiments

- Conditionalize joint tables to P(e|f) and P(f|e)
- French-English Europarl Set
  - 25 length limit, 400k sentence pairs
- SMT Workshop Baseline MT System
  Pharaoh, MERT Training on 500 tuning pairs
- Included unnormalized IBM Model 1 features for all
- Compared to:
  - JPTM constrained with GIZA++ Intersect
  - Surface Heuristic Extraction with GIZA++ GDF



## **Results: BLEU Scores**





## Results: Table Size

#### (in millions of entries)





# Summary

- Phrasal ITG that learns phrases from bitext
   Similar to JPTM
- Complete expectations do matter
  - Other JPTMs could benefit from improving their search and sampling methods
- A new ITG pruning technique
   80 times faster inside-outside



## Future: Eliminate Frequency Limits

- Must constrain any joint model to use phrases that occur with a minimum frequency
  - Otherwise sentence = phrase is ML solution





## Future: Eliminate Frequency Limits

- Must constrain any joint model to use phrases that occur with a minimum frequency
  - Otherwise sentence = phrase is ML solution





## Future: Eliminate Frequency Limits

 Must constrain any joint model to use phrases that occur with a minimum frequency





## This isn't the whole story...

- Explored the same model as a phrasal aligner
- Needs additional constraints to work:
  - Fixed links help select phrases that are non-compositional
- Alignments work well with surface heuristic
- Details in the paper!



## Questions? Comments? Suggestions?

## Support provided by:

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Alberta Ingenuity Fund

QuickTime<sup>™</sup> and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture. Alberta Informatics Circle of Research Excellence

28 of 28



## Along the way...

- Adapt consistency constraints from heuristic phrase extraction for ITG parsing
- Deal with the ITG constraint in large data





