

# The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

## Student Feedback Questionnaire

### Instructor - Section Report

FALL 2011-12

Survey Period 23 November 2011 - 7 December 2011

Prof. KIM, Sung Hun

#### **COMP-1022P-L2 Introduction to Computing with Java**

69 student(s) have evaluated the course.

36 student(s) have not evaluated the course.

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 65.7% (69/105)

#### Lecture Courses

Q1. The course has been well designed to help me learn.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	20	29.0%	
B		75.0	31	44.9%	
C		50.0	16	23.2%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	2	2.9%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	74.3	22.3
Section	74.3	22.3
Course	62.7	27.3
Department (COMP)	71.7	25.8
School (SENG)	73.2	24.2
University	72.5	24.4

Q2. Lectures and course materials have been well prepared and useful in my learning.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	24	34.8%	
B		75.0	30	43.5%	
C		50.0	11	15.9%	
D		25.0	2	2.9%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	2	2.9%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	76.1	23.7
Section	76.1	23.7
Course	65.4	25.9
Department (COMP)	74.2	26.1
School (SENG)	73.4	24.7
University	73.0	25.1

Q3. The value of this course was clear to me.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	21	30.4%	
B		75.0	27	39.1%	
C		50.0	14	20.3%	
D		25.0	4	5.8%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	2	2.9%	
NA	Not Applicable		1	1.4%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	72.4	25.2
Section	72.4	25.2
Course	60.4	27.7
Department (COMP)	71.1	26.1
School (SENG)	72.6	24.8
University	72.2	25.2

Q4. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject and encouraged me to think.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	35	50.7%	
B		75.0	20	29.0%	
C		50.0	10	14.5%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	80.4	25.0
Section	80.4	25.0
Course	60.3	30.3

D		25.0	2	2.9%	█
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	2	2.9%	█
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Department (COMP)	71.6	27.8
School (SENG)	72.0	26.1
University	70.3	27.1

Q5. Tests and assignments have been well designed, fair and relevant to my learning.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	24	34.8%	██████
B		75.0	26	37.7%	██████
C		50.0	13	18.8%	████
D		25.0	4	5.8%	█
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	2	2.9%	█
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	73.9	25.5
Section	73.9	25.5
Course	62.3	26.9
Department (COMP)	68.9	28.1
School (SENG)	70.6	25.9
University	70.3	25.6

Q6. Feedback on tests and assignments has been helpful and provided in good time.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	20	29.0%	██████
B		75.0	24	34.8%	██████
C		50.0	15	21.7%	████
D		25.0	6	8.7%	██
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	3	4.3%	█
NA	Not Applicable		1	1.4%	█
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	69.1	27.7
Section	69.1	27.7
Course	59.3	27.5
Department (COMP)	67.1	28.0
School (SENG)	69.3	26.1
University	67.7	26.7

Q7. The instructor has been responsive to students' problems and available to answer questions.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	38	55.1%	████████
B		75.0	18	26.1%	████
C		50.0	9	13.0%	██
D		25.0	4	5.8%	█
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	82.6	22.8
Section	82.6	22.8
Course	68.5	27.9
Department (COMP)	77.8	25.5
School (SENG)	76.7	24.1
University	76.3	24.0

Q8. The instructor created a good atmosphere for learning.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	39	56.5%	████████
B		75.0	22	31.9%	████
C		50.0	7	10.1%	██
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	1.4%	█
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	85.5	19.8
Section	85.5	19.8
Course	63.2	29.7
Department (COMP)	74.8	26.7
School (SENG)	73.7	25.8
University	72.5	26.2

Q9. Compared to other courses, this course is academically:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Difficult	100.0	6	8.7%	█
B		75.0	12	17.4%	██
C		50.0	35	50.7%	████████
D		25.0	12	17.4%	████
E	Very Easy	0.0	4	5.8%	█
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	51.4	24.2
Section	51.4	24.2
Course	54.4	24.3
Department (COMP)	61.7	22.6
School (SENG)	59.9	23.2
University	60.1	23.0

Q10. Compared to other courses, the workload for this course is:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Heavy	100.0	6	8.7%	■
B		75.0	10	14.5%	■
C		50.0	32	46.4%	■
D		25.0	18	26.1%	■
E	Very Light	0.0	3	4.3%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	49.3	24.2
Section	49.3	24.2
Course	55.1	22.6
Department (COMP)	60.5	22.2
School (SENG)	54.9	24.2
University	54.4	24.0

Q11. Please rate the instructor overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Good	100.0	39	56.5%	■
B		75.0	21	30.4%	■
C		50.0	8	11.6%	■
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Very Bad	0.0	1	1.4%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	85.1	20.3
Section	85.1	20.3
Course	66.5	27.9
Department (COMP)	77.1	25.5
School (SENG)	77.0	24.1
University	76.1	24.3

Q12. Please rate the course overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Good	100.0	22	31.9%	■
B		75.0	29	42.0%	■
C		50.0	10	14.5%	■
D		25.0	3	4.3%	■
E	Very Bad	0.0	5	7.2%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		69	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	71.7	28.4
Section	71.7	28.4
Course	62.0	26.1
Department (COMP)	68.6	25.9
School (SENG)	71.6	24.4
University	71.3	24.2

Q13. What is good about the course?

1. -
2. Class was not boring. I think it is the best thing to mention.
3. I learn eclipse and greenfoot.. I got a basic idea, what is programming, because before this, I am absolutely blank about programming. I hope, I will know more, whats the application in learning this programming.. I feel that it will be very useful, but I dont know to which part it can be used.
4. Pro. Sung Kim is a very nice instructor. His own slides is very useful for me and he teached very clearly.
5. The course gives a taste for the students of the computer environment.
6. The instructor could really relate some market news to the topic taught in class. This makes the course more practical and interesting than usual.
7. The instructor encourages us to ask and answer questions throughout the lecture.
8. The instructor is very nice and interesting!
9. The instructor often encourages us to do class examples for us to practice.
10. The instructor's way of creating good studying atmosphere, maintaining the pace, as well as the explaining problems. The assignments and the lab sessions deserve to get full mark too.
11. The pace controlled by my instructor is just right, and he really hopes that we can think how to do programming instead of memorizing the functions and syntax. In addition, he is very responsive to questions, which is a great thing. Also, the way he utilizes his mac in his presentation is perfect. If every instructor can do this then I believe the teaching quality would improve a great deal.
12. The professor's teaching style
13. The teaching method.
14. active instructor
15. atmosphere is good examples are easy to understand teach with simple way
16. doesn't need language skills
17. interesting topics and designs, learning a lot about JAVA
18. know more about java
19. nothing
20. quite interesting
21. the course is designed to teach us Java and we do really have chances to practice by doing the labs also, our instructor always encourages us to raise questions, he said that no question is a stupid question, i think he is really a good teacher

Q14. What could be done to improve the course?

1. -
2. Focus more on the basic concepts since some of us has no experience with programming before. Sometimes we know the logic clearly but it still very difficult for us to write the projects because we don't know the syntax clearly.
3. I don't know why I have to take the course, why it is useful, what I can apply into my daily life or my later life whenever before or after the course? I think the instructor should tell us these as I know many students are confused about it.
4. Lab assignment can be more related to lecture materials.
5. Lab preparation was not so satisfactory so I hope the pre-lab activity or lab explanation is in more detail.
6. More examples and labs/practicals.
7. None. This is one of the best courses I've ever enrolled to.
8. One should provide more help and guidance to us in Lab sections.
9. Plug Lecture in LAB sec
10. Sometimes I feel that this course is rushed because the pace has to match the other lecture sessions.
11. Sometimes i cannot understand what is the purpose of some lab tasks even i read the guideline. It is good for us to have a demo to look what is happening before the lab so that we can prepare the lab well.
12. The lab session should be more detail.
13. The relationship between object and class in java can be explained in more detail and delivered slowly.
14. There could be clearer notes given to students.
15. We don't have enough time to practice what we learn.
16. about the assignment it is quite difficult but the lab task is far more difficult because sometimes it is irrelevant to the lecture. I think for the lab session, the teaching assistant can give more guidelines.
17. assignment is too hard
18. besides the theory, the course should also focus on practical, i.e. teach us more about how to connect the files with the programme, not just importing the skeleton every time.
19. can teach much more about java
20. everything
21. give more examples to us and exercise
22. lab and lecture could match more
23. more demos
24. more should be taught about how to use Eclipse or other programming tools when programming with JAVA
25. reduce number of labs

*Report prepared by CELT  
18 January 2012*