The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Student Feedback Questionnaire

Instructor - Section Report

FALL 2009-10 Survey Period 20 November 2009 - 4 December 2009 Prof. KIM, Sung Hun

COMP-610I-L1 Software Evolution and Repository Mining

5 student(s) have evaluated the course.

0 student(s) have not evaluated the course.

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 100.0% (5/5)

Lecture Courses

Q1. The course has been well designed to help me learn.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	5	100.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	69.3	24.6
School (SENG)	70.9	24.0
University	72.1	24.3

Q2. Lectures and course materials have been well prepared and useful in my learning.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	80.0%	
В		75.0	1	20.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	95.0	11.2
Section	95.0	11.2
Course	95.0	11.2
Department (COMP)	69.9	23.6
School (SENG)	71.3	23.7
University	72.5	24.7

Q3. The value of this course was clear to me.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	80.0%	
В		75.0	1	20.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	95.0	11.2
Section	95.0	11.2
Course	95.0	11.2
Department (COMP)	68.4	25.4
School (SENG)	70.4	24.8
University	71.8	25.0

Q4. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject and encouraged me to think.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	5	100.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	67.0	26.6

Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

School (SENG)	69.3	25.9
University	69.5	27.2

Q5. Tests and assignments have been well designed, fair and relevant to my learning.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	80.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		1	20.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	66.2	25.4
School (SENG)	68.9	24.9
University	69.6	25.5

Q6. Feedback on tests and assignments has been helpful and provided in good time.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	80.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		1	20.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	63.9	25.7
School (SENG)	67.1	25.3
University	67.2	26.3

Q7. The instructor has been responsive to students' problems and available to answer questions.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	5	100.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	73.6	23.5
School (SENG)	75.1	23.4
University	75.6	24.3

Q8. The instructor created a good atmosphere for learning.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	5	100.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	68.7	26.1
School (SENG)	70.8	25.4
University	71.2	26.7
		_

Q9. Compared to other courses, this course is academically:

		Weight	Count	Percentage
Α	Very Difficult	100.0	1	20.0%
В		75.0	1	20.0%
С		50.0	2	40.0%
D		25.0	1	20.0%
Е	Very Easy	0.0	0	0.0%
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%
	Total		5	100.0%

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	60.0	28.5
Section	60.0	28.5
Course	60.0	28.5
Department (COMP)	61.9	23.1
School (SENG)	60.6	23.2
University	59.7	22.9

Q10. Compared to other courses, the workload for this course is:

|--|

	Statistics	Mean	SD
--	------------	------	----

Α	Very Heavy	100.0	1	20.0%	
В		75.0	1	20.0%	
С		50.0	1	20.0%	
D		25.0	2	40.0%	
Е	Very Light	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Survey	55.0	32.6
Section	55.0	32.6
Course	55.0	32.6
Department (COMP)	59.4	22.3
School (SENG)	57.3	23.0
University	54.4	23.8

Q11. Please rate the instructor overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
Α	Very Good	100.0	5	100.0%	
В		75.0	0	0.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Very Bad	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	100.0	0.0
Section	100.0	0.0
Course	100.0	0.0
Department (COMP)	72.7	23.3
School (SENG)	74.4	23.4
University	75.3	24.5

Q12. Please rate the course overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
Α	Very Good	100.0	4	80.0%	
В		75.0	1	20.0%	
С		50.0	0	0.0%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Very Bad	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		5	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	95.0	11.2
Section	95.0	11.2
Course	95.0	11.2
Department (COMP)	66.9	24.3
School (SENG)	69.2	24.0
University	70.7	23.9

Q13. What is good about the course?

- 1. Actually, I think the course is very good and very helpful. But it is difficult especially the final project and also the workload is heavy for me. I spent a lot of time on the course
- 2. I can learn many interesting techniques in a specific field.
- 3. Students can learn the state-of-arts (techniques, theories, and) in mining software repositories.
- 4. practical and interesting

Q14. What could be done to improve the course?

- 1. I think writing review for every paper is a heavy load. I need more time to consider my project
- 2. It is better to do the project with a partener. Sometimes i just do not know what's the problem of my program. So I think it is better to have a partener to do the project
- 3. more survey style presentation

Report prepared by CELT 19 January 2010